ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GR--ETC F/G 6/20 TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT--ETC(U) JUL 78 A W SINGER USAEHA-75-51-0894-79 AD-A063 732 UNCLASSIFIED NL END DATE FILMED 3-79 AD A09373L DDC

AD AO 63 732

DOC FILE COPY.

A E H

SENTINEL OF HEALTH



UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36436
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY AMIDE
STUDY NO. 75-51-0894-79
MAY 1976 - JULY 1978



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

79 01 23 496

| EHA- REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|--|---|--|
| 75-51-0894-79 | 2. GOVT ACCESS | RECIPIENT'S CATALOG RIMBER |
| 73-31-0694-79 | | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVE |
| Topical Hazard Evaluation Pr | | Final May 76 - Jul 78 |
| Insect Repellent AI3-36436, | | S. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBE |
| Agriculture Proprietary Amide | | 75-51-0894-79 |
| ALLEN W. SINGER | | B. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(#) |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND A | DDRESS | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TA |
| Commander US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010 | | 1 |
| | | |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander | | 12. REPORT BATE May 76 - Jul 78 |
| US Army Health Services Command | | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES |
| Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234 | I different from Controlling | 7 Office) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) |
| | | Unclassified |
| | CACHTER AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE | mentung Officiassified |
| | (12) 10 | 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADII |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; | | 154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADII |
| | distribution unl | imited. Interest from Report ANDESSIDE 18 Section Section ANDESSIDE 18 Section Se |
| Approved for public release; | distribution unl | imited. ACCESSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Imited. Imited Imited |
| Approved for public release; 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract | distribution unl | imited. Interest from Report ANDESSIDE 18 Section Section ANDESSIDE 18 Section Se |
| Approved for public release; 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract | distribution unl | imited. In this section is a section in the sectio |
| Approved for public release; 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | distribution unl | imited. In the section of the secti |
| Approved for public release; 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract | distribution unl | imited. In the section of the secti |
| Approved for public release; 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebstract 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if nec USDA Proprietary Amide AI3-36436 Topical Hazard Evaluation candidate repellent | distribution unl | imited. Jorent from Report) AND SECURAL STREET OF SECURAL STREET |
| Approved for public release; 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrace) 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if nec USDA Proprietary Amide AI3-36436 Topical Hazard Evaluation | covery and identify by block skin irritation eye irritation | imited. Imited. Imited. Imited. Income from Report) ADDESS IN to Section of Section |

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (A DD 1 JAN 79 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

CPT Singer/jg/584-3980



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-LT-T/WP

3 JAN 1979

SUBJECT:

Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent Al3-36436, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Amide, Study No. 75-51-0894-79, May 1976 - July 1978

Executive Secretary Armed Forces Pest Control Board Forest Glen Section, WRAMC Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

A preliminary hazard evaluation of AI3-36436 was performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound did not produce eye or skin irritation, or cause a photochemical irritation in rabbits, did not sensitize guinea pigs and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It was recommended that AI3-36436 be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl as (4 cy) BRENDAN E. JOYCE, Ph.D.

LTC, MSC

Director, Laboratory Services

Mention Theye

HQDA (DASG-PSP) Cdr, HSC (HSPA-P) Dir, Advisory Ctr on TOX, NRC Supt, AHS (HSA-IHE)
USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36436
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY AMIDE
STUDY NO. 75-51-0894-79
MAY 1976 - JULY 1978

1. AUTHORITY.

- a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man-Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 4 November 1977.
- b. Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General; the US Army Health Services Command; the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, effective 1970 with Amendment No. 1 effective August 1974.
- 2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, USAEHA, 1972, revised 1976.
- 3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-36436.
- 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate repellent AI3-36436, USDA Proprietary Amide, was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows: *†

Animal Care.

^{*} In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1972 - second printing 1974.

† The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities, fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory

TABULAR PRESENTATION OF DATA

| Test | Results | Interpretation |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES Rabbits | | |
| Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits. | Compound AI3-36436 produced no primary irritation of the intact skin or to the skin surrounding an abrasion. | USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix). |
| <pre>0.5 ml technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.</pre> | THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY. | |
| EYE IRRITATION STUDIES | | |
| Rabbits | | |
| Single 24-hour application of 0.1 ml technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits. | Compound AI3-36436 did not produce any injury to the cornea, and, in addition, no injury to the conjunctiva in six out of six rabbits. | USAEHA Category A (ref Appendix). |
| APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD) | wellwis. A heapth evaluation | |
| Oral | | |
| Rats (male) - no diluent | ALD>7400 mg/kg | |

The state of the south of the season of the south of the season of the s

Addressed to the "burds for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US appartment of Hostin, Education and Melfare Publication on. (Min: 74-23, revised 1972 - second printing 1974.

Test

Results

Interpretation

PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

A single application (0.05 ml) of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of the compound (AI3-36436) and a 10 percent (w/v) oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.

A 25 percent solution of AI3-36436 in ethanol did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions.

Compound AI3-36436 did not cause a photo-chemical irritation reaction under test conditions and is not expected to cause a photochemical irritation in humans.

Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.

Control

Following UV exposures of the rabbits, 0.05 ml of test compound, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation at 24, 48 and 72 hours.

Test

Results

Interpretation

SENSITIZATION STUDIES

Guinea Pigs (Male)

Intradermal injections of 0.1 ml of a 0.1 percent suspension (w/v) of AI3-36436 or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.

Ten test guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of AI3-36436.

Ten positive control guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of DNCB. Challenge dose of test compound (last intradermal injection) did not produce a sensitization reaction.

Positive controls (DNCB) produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.

Compound AI3-36436 did not produce a sensitization reaction under these test conditions and is not expected to produce a sensitization reaction in man.

^{*} A known skin sensitizer.

- 5. CONCLUSION. Technical grade compound AI3-36436 presents no acute hazard from eye, skin, photochemical or sensitization contact or from acute ingestion.
- 6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provision of the Memorandum of Understanding (para 1b), it is recommended that AI3-36436, USDA Proprietary Amide, be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

ALLEN W. SINGER

CPT, VC

General Veterinary Officer Toxicology Division

ages, provided to is watered but he soon as seasible

Alle W Linge

APPROVED:

ARTHUR H. McCREESH, Ph.D.

Chief Toxicology Division Chief, Toxicology Division

Should be used with caution around the types of the second the types.

C. Compounds producing mile today to the corporation and the confine time confine time. INTERMETATION SHOULD BE used with concion avoided the eyes and mucosa.

APPENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals. prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound.

(INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

- A. <u>Compounds noninjurious to the eye</u>. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
- B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.
- C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

- D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.
- E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.